



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.2980 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.2980 of 2026

1. Veeramani
2. Sarasu

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Pudupettai Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail, in
the event of their arrest, in connection with FIR No.6 of 2026 dated
03.01.2026, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Elumalai

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under
Section 194 of BNSS, 2023 r/w Section 108 of BNS, 2023 in Cr.No.6 of
2026, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

1/5



2. The allegation against the petitioners is that they are all relatives and that they had mortgaged the house in which the deceased and her husband were residing, without their knowledge. When the deceased questioned them, they have allegedly abused and threatened her with dire consequences and also demanded her to vacate from the house. Unable to bear the humiliation and insult, the deceased committed suicide by hanging, which lead to the registration of an FIR. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused were enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 27.01.2026 in Crl.OP.No.1701 of 2026 and another co-accused was enlarged on bail by the Principal District and Sessions Court vide order dated 21.01.2026 in Crl.MP.No.249 of 2026. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally five accused in this case and investigation in this case is pending . Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that it is a case of abetment of suicide and the co-accused were enlarged on bail, this Court is of the view that investigate the case of this nature, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary; though investigation in this case is pending, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned ***Judicial Magistrate-II, Panruti*** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

09.02.2026

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Panruti
2. The Inspector of Police,
Pudupettai Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras, Chennai 600 104.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.2980 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.2980 of 2026

09.02.2026