



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

CrI.O.P.No.3184 of 2026

B.Mahendran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Saravanampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore.
(Crime No.688 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita of BNSS Act, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.688 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Pon Gowtham Kumar
for Pon Law Associates

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who was apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.688 of 2025, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he is a friend of A1, who is running a shop where the deceased had pledged certain gold jewels. It is stated that A1 suspected the genuineness of the pledged jewels and, along with another person, suspected the deceased and the persons accompanying her, demanding repayment of the pledged amount. As the deceased was unable to return the money, A1, A2, A3 and other persons present in the market allegedly joined together and assaulted her using a wooden log, reaper wood and PVC pipe, and continued the attack from noon till about 8.00 p.m. Unable to bear the attack, the deceased succumbed to the injuries. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioner and he was arrested.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that a co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.2511 of 2026, dated 09.02.2026. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 6 accused in this case and the petitioner is ranked as A3. He further submitted that all the accused jointly attacked the victim lady from 1.30 p.m. to 8.00 P.M. using various weapons and that this petitioner specifically assaulted her with a PVC pipe. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the specific overt act attributed to the petitioner, namely, that he attacked the victim with a PVC pipe, which is stated to have resulted in her death, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10.02.2026

kmm



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Saravanampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.3184 of 2026

10.02.2026