



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2995 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 2995 of 2026

Sarathkumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State by

Inspector of Police,

Puzhal Police Station,

Tiruvallur District.

Crime No.24/2026

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to release the petitioner on bail pending inves in Cr.No.24/2026 on the file of the respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/S.G.Mageshkumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.01.2026, for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 351(3) of BNS,



r/w 4 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.24 of 2026, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that on account of a vehicle parking dispute between petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner along with the other accused, came to the house of the defacto complainant, damaged the wind shields of five cars, and thereafter, abused and assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and only due to previous enmity a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner to show his bonafide, he is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- to the credit of Crime No.24 of 2026; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate for the investigation and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that on account of vehicle parking dispute, the petitioner along with the other accused abused and assaulted the defacto complainant and damaged the wind shields of five cars worth about Rs.50,000/-; that there is no previous cases



pending against the petitioner and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that, the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- to the credit of Crime No.24 of 2026, the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner and since further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- to the



credit of Crime No.24 of 2026 before the learned Magistrate concerned within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

GBI

09-02-2026



Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.State by
Inspector of Police,
Puzhal Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No.24/2026
- 2.The District Munsif cum Judicial
Magistrate, Madhavaram.
- 3.The Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2995 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 2995 of 2026

09-02-2026