



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2778 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 2778 of 2026

Kavitharani

W/o.Saravanamuthu,

No.27, Ahalya Apartments,

2/55, Mambalam High Road,

T.Nagar,

Chennai-600 017.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep.by, Inspector of Police,

Central Crime Branch-I,

Chennai.

(FIR in Cr.No.128 of 2025)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: To enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in connection to the FIR in Cr.No.128 of 2025 dated 22.07.2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.P.V.Balasubramanian Senior Advocate for

M/S.G.Aksharaa

For Respondent(s):

M/S.J.R.Archana

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120(b), 34, 420, 447, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.128 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is ranked as A6 in this case. While serving as Sub Registrar of the concerned Registration Office, she has registered the document without any verification of the title deeds of A2 in this case. It is further alleged that A2 fabricated a sale deed in his favour and came forward to execute the sale deed in favour of A1, who in turn mortgaged the same with the bank and availed loan and thereby, the accused have grabbed the land belonging to the de facto complainant. Hence, the case has been lodged.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the alleged transactions taken place between A2 and A1 and the offence is with regard to registration of sale deed. It is seen that documents were produced at the time of registration and the allegation against the petitioner is that there is a dereliction of duty on the part of the petitioner and she is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that already A3 and A4 were arrested and released on bail and A2 in this case is also died and A1 is absconding and the investigation is pending. Hence, opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations and the fact that the alleged transactions had taken place as early as in the year 2018 and considering the overt act attributed against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned CCB & CBCID Court, Egmore**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand



automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 06.00 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

MPA

09-02-2026



To

1. The CCB & CBCID Court, Egmore.
2. The State rep.by, Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch-I,
Chennai.
(FIR in Cr.No.128 of 2025)

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2778 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 2778 of 2026

09-02-2026