



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 3043 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

**CRL OP No. 3043 of 2026
and Crl.M.P.No.2645 of 2026**

Rajeshwari

Daughter of Palanisamy,

No.8/680 E1, E2 Lakshmi Gardens,

Palladam, Karaipudur,

Tiruppur 641 605

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by

The Inspector of Police,

Central Crime Branch,

Tiruppur District.

Crime No.21 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail arrested in connection with the Cr. No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, pending investigation and thus render justice.

For Intervener:

Mr.N.R.Elango Senior Advocate for

Mr.A.K.Sachindhar

For Petitioner(s):

M/S.S.Muthu Kumar

For Respondent(s):

Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on **28.12.2025** for the alleged offence **under Section 316(5) and 61(2) of BNS Act** in **Crime No.21 of 2025** on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was running a textile unit called Yasul Textile, A1 used to get orders from foreign buyers and used to transfer the said orders to the manufacturers of the textile goods, and in such a way, A1 has forwarded various orders to the extent of Rs.47 crores to the defacto complainant after escalating the purchase price for the goods. Since the goods have been quoted with a good profit margin, the petitioner has come forward to manufacture the goods, and the same was sent through A1 to A3. However, no money was paid to the defacto complainant, and further it revealed that the price quoted for the manufacturing and supply is an inflated rate, and by suppressing the original rate quoted to the foreign buyers. Further, it is revealed that A1, by joining hands with the petitioner, has fabricated documents such as invoice bills and other connected materials, and further, after collecting huge amount to the extent of Rs.47 crores, A1 has absconded, hence the case has been registered.



3. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is only a supervisor working under A1 and he was acting as per the instructions of A1, and he has issued certain bills and invoices on the instructions of A1. He was not aware of the criminality involved in this case, and he has been in incarceration since 28.12.2025. Therefore, he prayed for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor submitted that though from the outside it looks like a non-payment of goods supply, scrutiny of documents reveals that it is a case of fabrication of records, and also intentionally, from the inception, the accused have taken various steps to cheat the manufacturers, and huge amount of money has been misappropriated by the petitioner joining hands with other accused. So far, they have cheated the defacto complainant to the extent of Rs.47 crores, and the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that totally three accused in this case, and the petitioner alone has been arrested, and the others are still absconding, and the FIR was registered only recently, and the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



6. I have gone through the records and other connected materials, including the First Information Report, and it reveals that A1 issued various orders to be supplied to the foreign buyers, and inflated rates for each goods were quoted, and further, there are other bills which show that the bills quoted to the foreign buyers are lesser than the money quoted to the petitioner. Further, huge amount to the extent of Rs.47 crores has been swindled, and now A1 is absconding. Under such circumstances, this Court is of the view that it is a case of misappropriation and cheating, and also fabrication of records. Hence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

13-02-2026

MPA

To



1.State rep by

The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
Tiruppur District.

Crime No.21 of 2025

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 3043 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MPA

**CRL OP No. 3043 of 2026
and Crl.M.P.No.2645 of 2026**

13-02-2026