



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.2578 of 2026

Krishnamurthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
District Crime Branch
Kancheepuram
Kancheepuram District
(Cr.No.18 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.18 of 2025 dated on the file of the respondent police and pass orders.

For Petitioner : Mr. Prem Kumar

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 318, 3(5) of BNS in Crime No.18 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused, received goods from the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.17,00,00,000/-. It is alleged that after receiving the goods, the petitioner failed to make payment and thereby dishonestly cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not entered into any business transaction with the defacto complainant and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and abide by any other stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is involved in four previous cases of a similar nature. He further submitted that, upon detailed scrutiny, it was found that the petitioner has adopted this modus operandi to evade repayment and thereby swindled money from the defacto complainant as well as from other persons. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Though from outside view, the allegations made against the petitioner non payment of money for the purchase made, on inner scrutiny shows that, these accused, targetted vulnerable suppliers and after gaining confidence by paying the money to small extent of properties and after receiving huge quantity with high value, they would abscond and similarly they have cheated several persons, this is the petitioner's modus operandi, hence this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

11.02.2026

To

1. The District Crime Branch
Kancheepuram
Kancheepuram District

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

Crl.O.P.No.2578 of 2026

11.02.2026



WEB COPY

