



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2592 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.2592 of 2026

1. Nagalakshmi
2. Nagaiya
3. Karthikeyan

... Petitioners/ A1 to A3

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Mylapore Police Station,
Chennai City.
(Crime No.17 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.17 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. D. Bharathy

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.2592 of 2026



ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2) of BNS and u/s. 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.17 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.01.2026, on account of previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners herein and the defacto complainant; that thereby, the petitioners herein abused the defacto complainant using filthy language, attacked him using hands and wooden log; thereby the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that on account of previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the parties; that thereby both parties exchanged blows and heated words; that there is a counter case in Crime No.18 of 2026 registered on the file of the respondent police against the defacto complainant and his wife; and that the



petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured discharged from the hospital; that the petitioners have no previous antecedents; and that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation, taking note of the fact that there is a counter case and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court – XVIII at Saidapet** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen**



Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction

of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2592 of 2026

registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

05.02.2026

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-XVIII at Saidapet.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Mylapore Police Station,
Chennai City.
(Crime No.17 of 2026)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2592 of 2026

Crl.O.P. No.2592 of 2026

05.02.2026