



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2698 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 2698 of 2026

1. Pandu

S/o. Ramasamy,
2208, South Sathira Street,
Sentharapatti,
Salem District.

2. Amsavalli

W/o. Subramani,
residing at
Munsif Street,
Sentharapatti,
Salem District.

3. Praveen

S/o. Subramani,
residing at
Munsif Street,
Sentharapatti,
Salem District.

Vs

..Petitioner(s)



State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
Thammampatty Police Station,
Thammampatty,
Salem District.

Crime No. 20 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: To enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory bail in the event of the arrest in connection with the Crime. No.20 of 2026, on the file of Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s):	Nalliyappan R
For Respondent(s):	M/S.J.R.Archana Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.20 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to pathway dispute, the petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant using knife and iron rod and caused grievous injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent person and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there are no previous cases against the petitioners. However, she vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the victim discharged from the hospital, there are no bad antecedents against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Attur**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form



No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

05-02-2026

MPA



To

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate-II, Attur.

2.State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
Thammampatty Pol ice Station,
Thamampatty,
Salem District.

Crirne No. 20 of 2026.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2698 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 2698 of 2026

05-02-2026