



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2658 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **05.02.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.2658 of 2026

Sugumar

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
M-3, Puzhal Police Station,
Chennai
(Crime No.50 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioner/accused in
Crime No.50 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.M-3, Puzhal
Police Station, Chennai and pass orders

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. Muthukumar
For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
13.01.2026 for the offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b),
118(1), 115(2), 125, 309(4), 311 and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.50 of
2026, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused waylaid and demanded money from the defacto complainant at knife point and robbed a sum of Rs.4,000/- from him. It is alleged that the petitioner and other accused have attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to him. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is in judicial custody from 13.01.2026. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and abide by any other stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court . Hence, prays to grant bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that investigation in this case is not yet completed and there are 2 previous cases under section 379 of I.P.C. Hence, opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.2658of 2026

5.Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and the previous antecedents of the petitioner, if the petitioner is released on bail, he will indulge in tampering of evidence.

Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

05.02.2026

smn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
M-3, Puzhal Police Station,
Chennai

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2658of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

smn

CRL OP NO.2658 of 2026

05.02.2026