



WEB COPY

WP No. 3531 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

WP No. 3531 of 2026

and

WMP.No.3959 of 2026

R.Murugammal

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
District Collectorate Complex,
Dharmapuri 636701.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
District Collectorate Complex,
Dharmapuri 636701.
3. The Tahsildar,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District 635 111.
4. The Revenue Inspector,
Periyanaahalli,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District -635 205.



5. The Village Administrative Officer,
Periyanaahalli,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District -635 205.

6. K.Rajendran

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of writ of certiorarified mandamus, to call for the records relating to the order of the 3rd respondent passed in his proceedings dated 27.01.2026 vide Na.Ka. No. 3241/2025/R1 and quash the same and consequently direct the 1st Respondent to decide the appeal filed by the Petitioner on 23.01.2026 through registered post which was served to the 1st respondent on 27.01.2026.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Kannan

For Respondent(s): Mr.T.Arun Kumar
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 TO R5.

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by C.Kumarappan J.)

The present writ petition has been filed assailing the inter-departmental communication between the 3rd respondent and the Inspector of Police, Karimangalam Police Station.



2. As per the above impugned order, the Tahsildar has referred about the issuance of Section 7 notice under the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act on 21.12.2025. Since the petitioner did not remove the encroachments, he has issued final notice under Section 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act on 13.01.2026. Since the Authority wants to take further proceeding in pursuance of the final notice, they sought for a police protection from the concerned jurisdictional Inspector. In this connection, the petitioner cannot have any grievance and such communication is only an inter-departmental communication, which cannot give any cause of action to the petitioner to file a writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner preferred an appeal under Section 10 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act. The petitioner is at liberty to pursue the appeal in the manner known to law.

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed with the above observations. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)
03-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

KKN



To:

1. The District Collector,
District Collectorate complex,
Dharmapuri 636701.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
District Collectorate Complex,
Dharmapuri 636701.
3. The Tahsildar,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District 635 111.
4. The Revenue Inspector,
Periyanaahalli,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District -635 205.
5. The Village Administrative Officer,
Periyanaahalli,
Karimangalam Taluk,
Dharmapuri District -635 205.



WEB COPY

WP No. 3531 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

KKN

WP No. 3531 of 2026

03-02-2026