



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2684 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **05.02.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.2684 of 2026

Salmanbasha @ Salman

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Tindivanam Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.20 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.20 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. N. Sivaraman

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER



WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 111(2), 123 of BNS r/w. Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) and 21(b) of NDPS Act in Crime No.20 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.01.2026, based on a specific information, the respondent went near Erikarai Pillaiyar Temple and intercepted A1; that after complying all the mandatory provisions of the NDPS Act, the respondent had seized 75 grams of ganja from A1; that based on the confession, further seizure was effected in the house of A2 and recovered 100 nos. of Tapentadol Tablets and arrested A2 to A4; that further A1 confessed that the above seized contrabands were supplied to them by the petitioner herein and another accused namely Ansar. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a student pursuing Master's Degree and he has been falsely implicated in this case only based on the confession of the arrested accused; that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and there is no recovery from the petitioner herein; and that the



Crl.O.P.No.2684 of 2026

petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner herein is the main accused in this case, who had supplied the contraband to other accused for the purpose of illegal sales; and that the investigation of this case is at preliminary stage.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of offence and taking note of the fact that the investigation of this case is at preliminary stage, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

05.02.2026

stn

K. RAJASEKAR, J.



Crl.O.P.No.2684 of 2026

stn

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police,
Tindivanam Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.20 of 2026)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.2684 of 2026

05.02.2026