



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2545 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 2545 of 2026

1. Vijay @ Balaiyan
S/o. Murugaiyan,
North Street,
Umbalachery Taluk,
Nagapattinam District- 614 711.
2. Murugapandi
S/o. Rethinam,
North Street,
Umbalachery Taluk, .
Nagapattinam District- 614 711.
3. Kaviyarasan
S/o. Murugesan,
North Street, Umbalachery Taluk,
Nagapattinam District – 614 711.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. by The Inspector of Police
Kariyapattinam Police Station
Nagapattinam District
Crime No. 11 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in connection with the Crime No. 11 of 2026 on the file of respondent police and pass such further or other order as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Kalaimani S

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.side)**Order**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 136, 296(b), 118(1) of BNS, in Crime No.11 of 2026 registered on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that, due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners allegedly attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log, thereby causing injuries to him. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and that they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioners have no previous cases against them and that the injured was treated as in-patient. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the



learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners have no previous cases pending against them, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedaranyam*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only), each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during



investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04-02-2026

DRL

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Vedaranyam.

2. The Inspector of Police
Kariyapattinam Police Station
Nagapattinam District

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2545 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 2545 of 2026

04-02-2026