



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 03-02-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP No. 2338 of 2026**

Karventhan  
S/o.Angamuthu,  
D.No.58/3,Ramanuja Naidu Street,  
South Pothanur,Coimbatore-23.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by  
The Inspector of police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Dharapuram,  
Tiruppur District.  
(Carime No.27/2025)

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This petition is filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.27 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of police,All Women police Station,Dharapuram,Tiruppur District and thus render justice.



For Petitioner(s):     B.Kumarasamy  
                                 R.Babu  
                                 B.Gopalakrishnan  
                                 M.Pugazhendhi

For Respondent(s):     Mr.A. Gopinath, Govt Advocate

### **ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.09.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 3(a), 3(d), 4(2) of POCSO Act, 2012 in Crime No.27 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is known to the family of the victim and taking advantage of the same the petitioner kidnapped the victim girl and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on her and also threatened her with dire consequences. Hence this case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not kidnapped the victim girl and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 29.09.2025 and is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that it is a case of aggravated penetrative sexual assault and investigation in this case is not yet completed. Hence, opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. I have gone through the statement recorded from the victim girl under Section 183 of BNSS which reveals the manner in which the occurrence has taken place and the disturbance created by the petitioner and admittedly the victim is aged about 5 years and the petitioner is aged about 33 years.

6.Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side, nature of offence and it is a case of aggravated penetrative sexual assault and if the petitioner is released on bail he will indulge in tampering of evidence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

**03-02-2026**

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

smn



To

1. The Inspector of police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Dharapuram, Tiruppur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court  
Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 2338 of 2



**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

**SMN**

**CRL OP No. 2338 of 2026**

**03-02-2026**