



WEB COPY

WP No. 6224 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA

WP No. 6224 of 2026

N.Kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
O/o.District Collector Office,
Ranipet District, Ranipet.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
O/o.Revenue Divisional Office,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.
3. The Tahsildar,
O/o.Tahsildar Office,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to dispose the representation dated 26/12/2025, within a time period as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.K.Parameshwaran

For Respondent(s): Mr.Yogesh Kannadasan, SGP



ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to dispose the representation dated 26/12/2025, within a time period as fixed by this Court.

2. The petitioner owns a Nanjai land measuring an extent of 10 Ares in Murungai Village, Arakkonam Taluk, Ranipet District comprised in S.No.179/4C1. The petitioner submits that there are about 41 Palmyra trees in his patta land, which have resulted in the growth of bushes, preventing him from carrying out agricultural activities. Further, as the petitioner's land lies along the road side, other land owners use his land as a pathway for carrying out the agricultural activities. However, due to the Palmyra trees, access to adjoining lands is obstructed. Therefore, he made representations to the respondents on 26.12.2025 and 08.01.2026, but the same were not considered by the Tahsildar Arakkonam.

3. It is also noted that a similar representation by one P.Shanmugam, whose land lies behind the petitioner's property, was considered by the second respondent and he had directed the Tahsildar to take appropriate steps for cutting the Palmyra trees. However, no action has been taken so far.



4. Heard the rival submissions and perused the materials available on record:

5. It is seen that the Tahsildar, Arakkonam was earlier directed by the District Revenue Officer to consider the representation of P.Shanmugam dated 09.02.2024, regarding cutting of Palmyra trees, but no action was taken. Similarly, the representations made by the petitioner have also not been considered by the respondents.

6. In view of the above, this Court directs the Tahsildar, Arakkonam, to take steps to remove the Palmyra trees in the petitioner's land within a period of four weeks from today. In the event that the Tahsildar fails to comply with this direction, the petitioner shall be deemed to have the approval to cut the Palmyra trees.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

23-02-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
PVS



To

1. The District Collector,
O/o.District Collector Office,
Ranipet District, Ranipet.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
O/o.Revenue Divisional Office,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.
3. The Tahsildar,
O/o.Tahsildar Office,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 6224 of 2



P.T.ASHA, J.

PVS

WP No. 6224 of 2026

23-02-2026