IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-02-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP No. 3363 of 2026

S.Ravikumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Secretary to Government
Commercial Taxes and Registration Department
Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009

2.The Commissioner of commercial Taxes
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005

3.The Joint commissioner (ST)
Trichy Division, Trichy.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER; This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to consider the petitioners Appeal dated 25.9.2025 and pass orders on merits within the time stipulated by this Honble court.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.M.Loganathan for M/s.S.Kishore Kumar
For Respondent:	Mr.C.Harsha Rajm SGP

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioners Appeal dated 25.9.2025 and pass orders on merits within the time stipulated by this Honble court.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner was selected as Assistant Commercial Taxes Officer in the Department of Commercial Taxes on 06.12.2012 through 2009-2011 combined subordinate Services Examination -I recruitment conducted by TNPSC and was initally posted as Deputy Commercial Taxes Officer, Officer of the Commercial Taxes Officer, Nagapattinam, Tanjore District. However, due to a false case, he was arrested on 14.05.2013 and was placed under suspension by the second respondent on 11.06.2013. While so, he was kept merely on suspension for years and no charge memo was also issued. The petitioner approached this Court in WP.No.7033 of 2016 challenging the order of suspension and subsequent rejection order. This Court vide its order dated 25.02.2016, directed the second respondent herein to reconsider the case within four weeks, but however rejected the case. A charge memo was issued by the 3rd respondent, even though the petitioner has not received any annexure along with charge memo, however, the petitioner has given his explanation. While so, the 3rd respondent has held that the charges are proved even thought principles of natural justice is not followed. Later, inquiry report dated 20.09.2024 was sent to the second respondent and the petitioner has given explanation on 27.11.2024. The second respondent has not considered the petitioners explanation to the inquiry report and has held that two charges has been proved. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioner has preferred appeal on 25.09.2025 against the said order before the first respondent for reviewing the order of the second respondent dated

30.07.2025. The said appeal has not been considered so far. Hence, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this Court may direct the respondents to consider and pass orders on the petitioners representation within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

4. The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that the representation will be considered and orders will be passed within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

5. Heard the learned counsel for both side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the limited request sought for by the petitioner, this court directs the first respondent to consider and pass orders on the petitioner's representation dated 25.09.2025 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

05-02-2026
M.DHANDAPANI J.

rli

Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

1.The Secretary to Government
Commercial Taxes and Registration
Department Secretariat Fort St.George
Chennai-600 009

2.The Commissioner of commercial
Taxes
Chepauk Chennai-600 005

3.The Joint commissioner (ST)
Trichy Division Trichy

WP No. 3363 of 2026

05-02-2026