



WEB COPY



CRP No. 373 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-06-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 373 of 2026

1. Velmurugan

S/o. Raj Gounder Thiruvalluvar Nagar,
Sadasivapuram village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District. They are
represented as village Representative of
Sadasivapuram village and 4 Others

2. Ramesh

S/o. Muthusamy Residing at
Singarathoppu, TherkuKadu, Sadasivapuram
village and Post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District.

3. Venkatesh

S/o. Muthusamy Residing at Middle Street,
Sadasivapuram village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District


4. Parisu @ Ramalingam,

son of Raj Gounder Thiruvalluvar Nagar,
Sadasivapuram village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District. They are
represented as village Representative of
Sadasivapuram village

5. Dhanasekar

son of Raj Gounder Thiruvalluvar Nagar,
Sadasivapuram village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District. They are
represented as village Representative of

Petitioner(s)



CRP No. 373 of 2020

Sadasivapuram village

WEB COPY

Vs

1. Jayamani

S/o. Subbaiah Middle street, Sadasivapuram
village and post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District.

2. Rajasekar

S/o. Subramani East street, Sadasivapuram
village and post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District.

3. Srinivasan

son of Lakshmana gounder, KillakkuKadu,
Sadasivapuram village and post, Thalaivasal
Taluk, Salem District.

4. Arumugam

son of Karuppanagounder, Thiruvalluvar
Nagar, Sadasivapuram village and post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District

5. Govindharaj,

son of Marimuthugounder, East street,
Sadasivapuram village and post, Thalaivasal
Taluk, Salem District

6. Palanimuthu

S/o. Periyanna Gounder Thiruvalluvar
Nagar, Sadasivapuram Village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District

7. Angamuthu

S/o. Annamalai West Street, Sadasivapuram
Village and Post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District

2/6



CRP No. 373 of 2020

8.Sakthivel alias Sampath
Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Sadasivapuram
Village and Post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District

9.Kathirvel,
Son of Ayyavu, East Street, Sadasivapuram
Village and Post, Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem
District

10.Govindhan,
Son of Iyyasamy Gounder Thiruvalluvar
Nagar, Sadasivapuram Village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District

11.Rajendran,
Son of ChinnuPadayachi East Street,
Sadasivapuram Village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District

12.Dharmalingam
son of ArumugaGounder Thiruvalluvar
Nagar, Sadasivapuram Village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District

13.Kannan
Son of Arumugam West Street,
Sadasivapuram Village and Post,
Thalaivasal Taluk, Salem District.

Respondent(s)

Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of Civil Procedure Code against the order and decreetal order passed in I.A.No.2 of 2025 in O.S.No.285 of 2025 dated 08.10.2025 on the file of Subordinate Judge, Attur, Salem District.



WEB COPY



CRP No. 373 of 2020

For Petitioner(s): P. Ganapathy
For Respondent(s): M/s.K.Premkumar
For R.1 to R.6 and R.8 to R.13

ORDER

Challenging the findings rendered by the trial Court in I.A.No.2 of 2025 in O.S.No.285 of 2025, the plaintiffs have preferred the above revision.

2. Before the trial Court, the plaintiffs in O.S.No.285 of 2025 have filed an application in I.A.No.2 of 2025 seeking to permit them to represent the suit for themselves and on behalf of Sadasivapuram Village in Representative capacity. The respondents have also appeared. On hearing both sides, the trial Court held that the villagers appeared in person before the Court and raised objection against the petitioners to file a case in the Representative capacity and also observed that case was registered against the 1st plaintiff in P.S.Cr.No.495/2018 for cheating and misappropriation of accounts during the renovation of temple and also against the other plaintiffs for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 294(b), 506(i) IPC in respect of collection of money during renovation of temple to the tune of Rs.17,34,506/- and dismissed the



CRP No. 373 of 2020

application. Aggrieved by the same, the plaintiffs have filed the present revision.

WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners/plaintiffs submits that the respondents are not maintaining proper accounts and therefore, the plaintiffs have filed a suit in a representative capacity, but the Court failed to give such opportunity to file a suit against the respondents.

4. On perusal of the records, it is seen that during the enquiry, the entire villagers appeared before the trial Court and raised objection against the petitioners/plaintiffs to file a suit on behalf of the villagers. Already a case was registered against the 1st plaintiff for misappropriation of temple fund which comes to more than Rs.17,00,000-. Therefore, the trial court has rightly dismissed the application, which requires no interference.

5. Since the plaintiffs are not entitled to represent on behalf of the entire villagers, as there is an objection on the side of the villagers, the application is not maintainable.



WEB COPY



CRP No. 373 of 2026

T.V.THAMILSELVI.,J

sr

6. In view of the above, the order passed by the trial court requires no interference and the civil revision petition is dismissed. No costs.

05.06.2026

sr

Index:yes/no

Website:yes/no

Speaking Order/Non-speaking Order

To

The Subordinate Court, Attur, Salem

CRP No. 373 of 2026