



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2628 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2628 of 2026

S.Bhavani

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Tamilnadu rep. by the Inspector of Police.
P-1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai – 600 012.
(Crime No. 366 of 2025)

2. Santhiya

...Respondents

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, (BNSS), 2023, to call for the entire records in connection with the FIR in Crime No.366 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the 1st respondent, P-1 Pulianthope Police Station, Chennai and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vijayaraghavan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Santhosh, GA(Crl. Side), for R1

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the FIR in Crime No.366 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the 1st respondent.



show that the 2nd respondent/de facto complainant is the real aggressor.

However, without considering the same, the 1st respondent-police have entertained the present false and exaggerated complaint made by the 2nd respondent/de facto complainant, which is not sustainable. Accordingly, he prayed for appropriate orders.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the 1st respondent-police submitted that it is a case of case and counter case and the petitioner and the 2nd respondent/de facto complainant are neighbours and that in the above said alleged incident, both the parties have sustained injuries and based on the complaint given by the said Logeshwari, an FIR in Crime No.367 of 2025 came to be registered on the file of the 1st respondent-police as against the 2nd respondent/de facto complainant and other accused persons and that the above said complaints have been registered very recently during October 2025 and that the 1st respondent-police are conducting enquiry in both the case in terms of PSO 566 and he fairly submitted that the 1st respondent-police will conduct enquiry in both the cases and file final reports.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2628 of 2026

6. It is seen that it is a case of case and counter case and in view of the fair submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this Court, directs the 1st respondent-police to conduct enquiry in both the cases and file final reports in terms of PSO 566 as expeditiously as possible and shall also look into the material evidence if any, produced / furnished by the petitioner.

7. With the above direction, this criminal original petition stands dismissed accordingly.

06.02.2026

skt

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To:

1. The Inspector of Police.
P-1, Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai – 600 012.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2628 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

skt

Crl.O.P.No.2628 of 2026

06.02.2026