



CrI.OP.No.3024 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

CrI.O.P.No.3024 of 2026

Lakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by
Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Avadi.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in apprehend of her arrest in connection with Cr.No.45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ramachandran

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420 of IPC and Sections 4(1) and 76(1) of Chit Funds Act, 1982 in



Cr.No.45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. Earlier, this Court vide order dated 13.11.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.31032 of 2025 dismissed the petitioner's anticipatory bail petition for the following reasons:

“ 4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner had cheated 18 subscribers to the tune of Rs.50,81,201/- and that the amount has not been recovered so far. He further submitted that the defacto complainant's share in the chit is a sum of Rs.4,38,000/- and that the investigation is still pending. However, he opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and the submissions made by both the counsel, the nature



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.3024 of 2026

*of the allegations and the huge amount involved,
this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail
to the petitioner. .*

*7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition
is dismissed ”.*

3. Now, the present petition has been filed without any change in circumstances after the dismissal of the petitioner's earlier bail petition. This clearly shows that the petitioner has abused the process of law. Hence, I am not inclined to reconsider the earlier order.

4. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

09.02.2026

Vv

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Avadi.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

3/4



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.3024 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

CrI.O.P.No.3024 of 2026

09.02.2026