



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.2044 of 2026

1. Suseela W/o.Sampath
2. Poonkanni W/o.Varathan

... Petitioners / A2 & A3

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Vellimedupettai Police Station,
Villupuram District.

(Crime No.131 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioners herein on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.131 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. Silambu Selvan S

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners herein, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 194 of BNSS @ 296(b), 108 of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.131 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that, one of the accused who is the brother in law of the deceased attempted to misbehave with the victim, which was informed by her to the family members. After that, the petitioners along with the other accused threatened the deceased with dire consequences, assaulted her and thereby, induced her to commit suicide. Unable to bear the alleged harassment, the victim committed suicide by consuming poison. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the incident was only a family quarrel between the parties, in which, the petitioners had not abetted the suicide; this Court has already granted bail to the first accused and that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and to co-operate for the investigation, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. Considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2020

case, nature of allegation, the fact that the co-accused/ A1 has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court and custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tindivanam**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2025

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

30.01.2026

ssa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate - I,
Tindivanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vellimedupettai Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.131 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2044 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa

CRL OP NO.2044 of 2026

30.01.2026