



WEB COPY



C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 23.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BALAJI

C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

Parimala Raju ... Petitioner in CRP.No.642 of 2026

S.G.Natesan ... Petitioner in CRP.No.645 of 2026

Vs.

1. M/s.Golden Fish Meal and Fish Oil Company,
Plot No.1A, SF No.68 & 69,
Sipcot Complex, Semmenkuppam,
Cuddalore – 607005.

2. S.Shahul Hameed

3. T.Ravichandran

4. N.Murugesan

5. M.Gajendiran

6. P.Nepolian ... Respondents in both CRPs

Prayer:- Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to pass an order or direction for the speedy disposal of the execution petition filed in E.P.Nos.34 and 35 of 2024 on the file of the 1st Additional District Court at Namakkal within the time limit that may be fixed by this Court.

1/5



WEB COPY



C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Nandhakumar
in both CRPs

COMMON ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and perused the materials available on record.

2. These Civil Revision Petitions have been filed seeking a direction for expeditious disposal of the execution proceedings initiated pursuant to the Arbitral Awards.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the applications filed under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 challenging the Awards were dismissed by this Court on 20.08.2025, taking note of the pendency of the Execution Petition from 28.11.2023, which was subsequently numbered as E.P. No. 34 of 2024. It is further submitted that the respondents are unnecessarily prolonging the proceedings without even filing a counter affidavit.



C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. A perusal of the daily order sheets reveals that the Execution Petition has been repeatedly adjourned on the ground that the third respondent has to file counter affidavit, despite the dismissal of the Arbitration O.P. under Section 34 of the Act, as recorded in the proceedings dated 17.10.2025. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Rahul S. Shah v. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and Others***, reported in ***(2021) 6 SCC 418***, has issued specific directions to all Executing Courts to dispose of execution petitions, as far as possible, within a period of six months.

5. In the light of the aforesaid directions and considering the limited prayer sought for in these Revisions, this Court is of the view that no prejudice would be caused to the respondents by issuing a direction for early disposal. Accordingly, while dispensing with notice to the respondents, the Executing Court is directed to dispose of E.P. Nos. 34 and 35 of 2024, on merits and in accordance with law, on or before 30.06.2026.



C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

6. With the above direction, these Civil Revision Petitions are
disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

23.02.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking Order
kv

To

The I Additional District Court, Namakkal



WEB COPY



C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

P.B.BALAJI, J.

kv

C.R.P.Nos.642 and 645 of 2026

23.02.2026