



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.1851 of 2026

1.Dhanalakshmi

2.Salaman

... Petitioners

Versus

The State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
Noolambur Police Station,
Anna Nagar, Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.362 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.362 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Arivazhagan

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w under Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act,



1998, in Crime No.362 of 2025 registered on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. ☹ The allegation against the petitioners is that the first petitioner was having a relationship with the defacto complainant in this case and that, on the date of occurrence, she refused to continue the said relationship. It is alleged that thereafter the first petitioner, with the help of the other petitioners, went to the college of the victim, abducted her in a car, and confined her at a lonely place. Subsequently, based on the complaint lodged, the police rescued the victim, and hence, the case has been registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the first petitioner is a lady and the second petitioner is a neighbour, and that both went along with A1 and they were not aware of any abduction and further the victim had voluntarily went along with the accused and that no offence of abduction is made out. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the victim was rescued and that, in her statement, she has stated that she was forcibly abducted by the petitioners. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that A1 is the main accused in this case and that the majority of the allegations are against A1 and further taking into account that the first petitioner is a lady aged about 19 years and that the second petitioner is alleged to have assisted A1, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambattur, Thiruvallur District*, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only), each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the



respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

28.01.2026

drl



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Ambattur, Thiruvallur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Noolambur Police Station,
Anna Nagar, Thiruvallur District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.1851 of 2026

28.01.2026