



WEB COPY

WP No. 3522 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

WP No.3522 of 2026

Balachandar Ganesan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Of Tamilnadu
Mudalvarin Mugavari Department,
Rep by Secretary,
St.Fort George, Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Public Information Officer
Home, Prohibition and Excise,
Secretariat,Chennai-600 009.
3. The First Appellate Authority (RTI Act)
Home, Prohibition and Excise,
Secretariat,Chennai-600 009.
4. The Second Appellate Authority (RTI Act)
Nadu State Information Commission,
Commercial Taxes Department Road,
Fanepet, Nandanam, Chennai-600 035.
5. The Public Information Officer
Office of the Director General of Police,
Radhakrishnan Salai,
Kaailasapuram,
Chennai- 600 004.

..Respondent(s)



Prayer : This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 5th respondent to consider and dispose petitioner representation dated 17.10.2025 and 26.11.2025 and to dispose of petitioner second Appeal RTI (Right to Information) proceedings vide SA/ 999/ TNSIC within the 4 weeks time.

For Petitioner(s) : Ms.K. Indumathy Venkatesh

For Respondent(s) : Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal
Addl. Govt. Pleader - R1 to R3


Mr.C.Vigneshwaran
Standing Counsel – R4

Mr.Meganathan
Govt.Advocate (Crl.side) – R5

ORDER

Mr.LSM.Hasan Fizal, learned Additional Government takes notice for R1 to R3. Mr. C.Vigneshwaran, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for R4 and Mr.Meganathan, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) takes notice for R5.

2. Ms.Indhumathi Venkatesh reports that the information requested has been furnished to the petitioner. However, the petitioner is not satisfied with the information so provided. The prayer in this writ petition is to direct the 4th respondent – State Information Commission to dispose of the appeal. Since the information has been furnished, both the writ petition as well as the appeal



have been rendered infructuous. If the petitioner is still not satisfied, she is at liberty to work out her remedy in terms of Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

3. Recording the above liberty, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

06-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

kkd

To

1. The Secretary,
Mudalvarin Mugavari Department,
St.Fort George, Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Public Information Officer
Home, Prohibition and Excise,
Secretariat,Chennai-600 009.
3. The First Appellate Authority (RTI Act)
Home, Prohibition and Excise,
Secretariat,Chennai-600 009.
4. The Second Appellate Authority (RTI Act)
Nadu State Information Commission,
Commercial Taxes Department Road,
Fanepet, Nandanam, Chennai-600 035.
5. The Public Information Officer
Office of the Director General of Police,
Radhakrishnan Salai,
Kaailasapuram, Chennai- 600 004.



WEB COPY

WP No. 3522 of 2



V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

kkd

WP No.3522 of 2026

06-02-2026