



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.2105, 2157, 2161 and 2166 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **30.01.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NOS.2105, 2157, 2161 and 2166 of 2026

Sanjai Kumar @ Nadar Sanjai	... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.2105 of 2026
V. Abishek @ Abinesh@ Abi	... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.2157 of 2026
Raman @ Periyava	... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.2161 of 2026
N. Kaviarasan	... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.2166 of 2026

Vs

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
(Crime No.737 of 2025)

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioners/accused in Crime No.737 of 2025 registered on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.2105 of 2026 : Mr. P. Chandra Sekar

**For Petitioners in Crl.O.P.Nos.2157, 2161
and 2166 of 2026** : Mr. R.T. Vijayaraaghavan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner/ Sanjai Kumar @ Nadar Sanjai and the petitioner/ Raman @ Periyava were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.11.2025 and 16.11.2025, respectively and the petitioners/ V.Abishek @ Abinеш @ Abi and N. Kaviarasan were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 140(4), 115(2), 103(1), 191(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 61(2) of BNS in Crime No.737 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners herein is that, they are known persons to A1; that it is alleged that the deceased in this case had money dispute between A1; that whilso on 13.11.2025, the petitioners herein along with other accused alleged to have abducted the deceased, illegally confined him at a secluded place, demanded money and attacked him using wooden logs; that thereby, the deceased sustained grievous injuries and fainted; that thereafter, the condition of the deceased was informed over phone to the mother of the deceased; that thereafter, the deceased succumbed to injuries. Hence, this case.



3. The learned counsels appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case, since they are known to A1 in this case; that apart from that there is no dispute between the deceased and the petitioners herein; that the petitioners herein have no previous cases; and that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and sought for bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 10 accused involved in this case and the petitioners herein are arrayed as A6, A10, A5 and A8, respectively; that the petitioners have no previous cases, however he submitted that A1 in this case is having several previous cases; that all the accused in the case were arrested; and that the investigation of the case is pending.

5. Considering the submissions made, nature of allegation, the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases and taking note of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners herein, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate - II, Chengalpattu** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;**

[c] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[d] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.2105, 2157, 2161 and 2166 of 2025

be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

30.01.2026

stn

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate -II,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
(Crime No.737 of 2025)

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

3. The Superintendent,

5/6



Crl.O.P.Nos.2105, 2157, 2161 and 2166 of 2026

Central Prison, Puzhal.

4. The Superintendent,
District Prison, Chengalpattu.

5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP NOS.2105, 2157, 2161 and 2166 of 2026

30.01.2026