



WEB COPY

WP No. 4830 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

**W.P.No. 4830 of 2024
and W.M.P.No.5269 of 2024**

P.Anitha

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Chairman and Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Government Cable TV Corporation
Limited,
No.807, 5th LN., Anna Salai,
Chennai-600 002.
2. The Deputy Manager/Special Tahsildar,
Cable TV Organisation,
No.807, 5th LN., Anna Salai,
Chennai-600 002.
3. The Executive Engineer (Administration),
Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board,
Division No.8, Plot No.367, Head Secretariat
Quarters,
Kannagi Nagar,
Chennai-600 097.
4. Devi

..Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the second respondent in favour of the fourth respondent in proceedings No.Se.Aa.No.1037/TACTV/Cable/Chennai/2023 dated 10.07.2023 and quash



the same and consequently, directing the third respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 12.12.2023.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.A.Manimaran

For Respondent(s): Mr.David Sundar Singh
for R1 and R2
Mr.G.Venkatesan for R3
Mr.T.I.Ramanathan for R4

ORDER

The petitioner claiming that she has been running cable TV Operation in the locality till 2024 and suddenly the fourth respondent has been granted permission for running cable TV Operation in her locality, has challenged the impugned order passed by the second respondent in favour of the fourth respondent dated 10.07.2023 and to consider her representation dated 12.12.2023.

2. It is alleged by the petitioner that she has been running cable TV Operation in the locality, namely, Kannagi Nagar, Thuraipakkam and has complied with the terms and conditions of the license agreement. Her license was renewed from 11.12.2023 to 10.11.2024. However, the second respondent has passed the impugned order dated 10.07.2023 granting permission to the fourth respondent. Challenging the same, the present writ petition has been filed. The petitioner challenges the impugned order on the ground that she has complied with the terms and conditions as set out in her license agreement and



therefore, the license ought not to have been given to the fourth respondent in the place of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned counsel appearing for the first and second respondents, learned counsel appearing for the third respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondent.

4. Though the impugned order was passed on 10.07.2023 granting license to the fourth respondent to run Cable TV Operations in the locality in question, the petitioner has filed this writ petition only in the 2024 with a delay of one year. That apart, the order rejecting the petitioner's representation to continue her license has not been enclosed. That apart, since the writ petition has been filed with a delay of more than one year before which the fourth respondent has already started the operations of Cable TV in the locality in question, this Writ Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay and laches. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

07-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ssb



To

WEB COPY

1.The Chairman and Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Government Cable TV Corporation Limited,
No.807, 5th LN., Anna Salai,
Chennai-600 002.

2.The Deputy Manager/Special Tahsildar,
Cable TV Organisation,
No.807, 5th LN., Anna Salai,
Chennai-600 002.

3.The Executive Engineer (Administration),
Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board,
Division No.8, Plot No.367, Head Secretariat Quarters,
Kannagi Nagar,
Chennai-600 097.



WEB COPY

WP No. 4830 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI J.

ssb

WP No. 4830 of 2024

07-04-2026