WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CONT P No. 294 of 2026

Magudapathy
S/o.Nanjappan,
D.No.2/115,
Kothamangalam Village And Post, Paramathi
Velur Taluk, Nanjappagoundampalayam,
Namakkal District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Durgamoorthi and 4 others
   The District Collector
   Office Of The District Collector,
   Tiruchengode Road,
   Namakkal District

2. M.G.Saravanan
   The District Revenue Officer
   Namakkal Distirct,
   Namakkal District Collectorate,
   Tiruchengode Taluk,
   Namakkal

3. P.S.Levin
   The Revenue Divisional Officer
   Tiruchendgode Taluk,
   Paramathi Velur Mai Road,
   Tiruchengode,
   Namakkal District

4. M.Govindaswamy
   The Tahsildar

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Paramathi Velur Taluk,
Namakal District

5. Pramalatha
The Revenue Inspector
Jedarpalayam,
Paramthi Velur Tlauk,
Namakkal District

..Contemnor(s)

Prayer : Contempt Petition filed under section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act o punish the respondents for his willful and wanton disobedience of order in W.P.No.19350 of 2025 dated 28.05.2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M. Elango

For Contemnor(s): Mr.R.Ramanlaal
Additional Advocate General
for Mr.T.K.SARAVANAN
ADDL GOVT PLEADER

ORDER

When the matter is taken up today, the learned Additional Advocate General submitted that as per the Order passed by this Court in W.P.No.19350 of 2025 dated 28.05.2025, the first respondent considered the representation of the petitioner and passed a detailed Order on 04.08.2025 and rejected the representation of the petitioner stating that already patta was issued in favour of one Gunavathi, wife of the petitioner and reconveyance of alternative pathway

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

sought by the petitioner is not permissible. He further submitted that as against Order of the first respondent, the petitioner has already preferred a revision by the petitioner. A copy of the Order passed by the first respondent has been filed before this Court.

2. As per the direction of this Court, since the representation of the petitioner has already been closed, there is no contempt.

3. Recording the submissions of the learned Additional Advocate General, this Contempt Petition is closed.

13-03-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

vrc

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

vrc

CONT P No. 294 of 2026

13-03-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis