



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.1917 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.1917 of 2026

Shanthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep., by
The Inspector of Police,
Balu Chetty Chatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram.
(Crime No.22 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his
arrest in Cr.No.22 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. V. Vijaya Kumar

For Respondent : Ms. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under
Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1), 5(1)(a) and 7(1)(a) of ITP Act in Cr.No.22 of 2026 on
the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is that the petitioner is ranked as A2 in this case, she joined hands with other accused A1, rented the house and involved in running brothel business. Based on the secret information, they respondent police have searched the premises and three victims have rescued. A1 in this case was arrested and based on the statement of A1, the role of A2 was unearthed and added as an accused

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the three victims were rescued and that the investigation is pending in this case. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.1917 of 2025

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the investigation is pending and based on the confession statement of the co-accused revealed this petitioner is also actively participated in the occurrence. Hence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

29.01.2026

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Kancheepuram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Baluchetty Chatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras, Chennai 600 104.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.1917 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.1917 of 2026

29.01.2026