



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 568 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL RC No. 568 of 2026

Prasanth

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station
Chengalpattu District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 and 442 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to CrI.M.P.No. 2615 of 2025 in Cr.No. 214 of 2024 dated 08.10.2025 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu and set aside the same and allow the revision petition.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Prem Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Kishore Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The revision challenges the dismissal of the petitioner's application seeking return of his Apple Iphone and Samsung mobile phones, which were seized during the course of investigation in Crime No.214 of 2024 registered for the offences under Sections 406, 426, 120B, 294(b) and 506(2) r/w.Section 4(1) and 76(1) of the Chit Funds Act, 1982.



2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner had cheated the de facto complainant by way of running a chit fund and hence, a case in Cr.No.214 of 2024 has been registered against the petitioner and his Apple I Phone and Samsung mobile were seized from the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner filed an application Section 497 and 503 of BNSS, 2023 seeking to return his properties. However, the learned Magistrate has dismissed the said petition on the ground that the petitioner may alienate / dispose the same and may involve in any other similar acts in future. Aggrieved the same, the petitioner has filed the present revision.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that admittedly, the petitioner is the owner of the aforesaid properties and that the petitioner and the de facto complainant have settled the issue and based on the said compromise this Court vide order dated 30.01.2026 in Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026 has quashed the case in Crime No.214 of 2024 pending against the petitioner.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5. In view of the subsequent developments that the petitioner had compromised the issue with the de facto complainant and the fact that the First Information Report has already been quashed by this Court, this Court is



inclined to order return of the mobile phones to the petitioner. Accordingly, the order dated 08.10.2025 passed in Crl.M.P.No. 2615 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu, is hereby set aside. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu, is directed to return the petitioner's mobile phone, viz., Apple I Mobile Phone-2 and Samsung Mobile Phone-1, forthwith subject to the following condition:-

(i) the petitioner is directed to execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the concerned Magistrate.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case stands allowed.

12-03-2026

rap

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station
Chengalpattu District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

3. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chengalpattu.



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 568 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

rap

CRL RC No. 568 of 2026

12-03-2026