



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

1.M.Jayalakshmi

2.K.Prasanth

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk,
Chengalpattu.
(Crime No.214 of 2024)

2. A.C.Sri Jayaraman

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to call for the entire records connected with the case in Crime No.214 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.R.Dhalapathy Vignesh Kumar

For R1 : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (crl.side)



Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.214 of 2024, pending against the petitioners, on the file of the first respondent Police, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, the aforesaid case in Crime No. 214 of 2024 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioner, for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 120B, 294(b) and 506(II) of IPC and Sections 4(1) and 76(1) of the Chit Funds Act.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the *de facto* complainant submitted that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the First Information Report as against the petitioners. Affidavits and Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect have also been filed.



WEB COPY

5. The petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by their respective counsel as well as by Mr.S.Arul, Special SSI, D2, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station, Chengalpattu.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that he has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners and he is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat***, reported in (2017) 9 SCC 641, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with



Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

8. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioners and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.214 of 2024 pending on the file of the first respondent police, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the First Information Report in Crime No.214 of 2024 pending on the file of the first respondent police is quashed as against the petitioners, on condition that the petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

10. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

30.01.2026

vkrr

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Member Secretary,
The Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA),
High Court Campus, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vk

Crl.O.P.No.2014 of 2026

30.01.2026