



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

and

Crl.M.P.Nos.1372 & 1373 of 2026

- 1.Sankar K.R.
- 2.Jayaprakash C.K.
- 3.Suthakar D.
- 4.Mahendran G.
- 5.Ranjithkumar
- 6.Santhosh M.
- 7.Suryaraja B.
- 8.Suresh Babu G.
- 9.Karthik C.

...Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.State rep.by,
Sub Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.

- 2.K.Rajinikanth

...Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita/Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records relating to the impugned proceedings in C.C.No.1784 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Coimbatore District and quash the same.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

For Petitioners : Ms.P.J.Anitha

For R1 : Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan
Additional Public Prosecutor
Asst.by Mr.M.S.Rajkumar

ORDER

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.1784 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Coimbatore District.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 11.08.2022, the petitioners and others, who belong to a political party, without obtaining prior permission, had unlawfully conducted a protest, thereby causing disturbance to the public. Therefore, a case in Crime No.752 of 2022 was registered by the 1st respondent police for the offences under Sections 143, 341 & 427 IPC. After completion of investigation, the first respondent Police filed the charge sheet before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Coimbatore District. The learned Magistrate took the same on file and assigned C.C. No.1784 of 2022 and subsequently issued summons to the petitioners. The petitioners, aggrieved by the same, have preferred the present petition to quash the said proceedings.



Crl.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the facts of the instant case are similar to the facts of the cases in ***Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police, Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District*** reported in (2018 2 LW (Crl) 606) and ***Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and others (Crl.O.P No.7922 of 2019, decided on 30.08.2019)***, in which the proceedings were quashed. Hence, he prayed to quash the impugned proceedings.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submitted that the present case was registered due to the protest conducted in a public place, without obtaining permission, thereby causing disturbance to the public. However, he fairly endorsed the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the facts of the instant case are akin to the facts in the aforesaid two cases.

5. Having heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and upon perusal of the entire materials available on record, this Court is of the opinion that the above said decisions would apply on all fours to the present case and that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the proceedings pending and the same is liable to be quashed.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the impugned proceedings in C.C.No.1784 of 2022 is hereby quashed as against the petitioners. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

02.02.2026

rpl

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore District

2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Peelamedu Police Station,
Coimbatore City.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

rpl

CrI.O.P.No.2090 of 2026

02.02.2026