



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.02.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

W.P.No.6100 of 2026

and

W.M.P.Nos.6598, 6599 and 6602 of 2026

M/s.Rajeshwar Hardwares,
Represented by its Proprietor
Jaisaram.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Deputy State Tax Officer – II,
Mannargudi.

2.The State Tax Officer,
Mannargudi.

3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu Mercantile Bank,
Mannargudi Branch, D.No.235/1,
Ground Floor, Periakadai Street,
Mannargudi – 614 001.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records in the impugned order passed by the 1st Respondent in form GST DRC 07 vide Order Ref No.ZD330225212771T dated 21.02.2025 along with its accompanying detailed order vide Ref No. GSTIN:33BDYPJ3247C1ZJ/2020-21, both dated 21.02.2025 and quash the same.



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.A.P.Karventhan

For Respondents : Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran
Government Advocate

ORDER

Mr.V.Prashanth Kiran, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondents.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondents.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 21.02.2025, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 26.11.2024 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 21.02.2025.

4. The Petitioner was also issued with Reminders on 13.01.2025 and 22.01.2025, which called upon the Petitioner to file a reply and to appear for a personal hearing. The Petitioner however neither filed any reply nor



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

appeared for the personal hearing fixed on 21.01.2025 and 28.01.2025. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.

5. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 12.01.2026.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 25% of the disputed tax as a condition for *denovo* adjudication and he has also made an endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle.

7. The learned Government Advocate for the Respondents had no objection for the same.

8. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

WEB COPY

9. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the 1st Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

10. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 26.11.2024 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 21.02.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 26.11.2024.

11. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the 1st Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner shall also stand automatically vacated.



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

12. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the 1st Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the 1st Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

15. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.
No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

24.02.2026

Neutral Citation: Yes / No
jas



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Deputy State Tax Officer – II,
Mannargudi.
- 2.The State Tax Officer,
Mannargudi.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu Mercantile Bank,
Mannargudi Branch, D.No.235/1,
Ground Floor, Periakadai Street,
Mannargudi – 614 001.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.6100 of 2026

C.SARAVANAN, J.

jas

W.P.No.6100 of 2026
and
W.M.P.Nos.6598, 6599 and 6602 of 2026

24.02.2026