



WEB COPY

WP No. 5024 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

**WP No. 5024 of 2026
and W.M.P.Nos.5569 & 5570 of 2026**

Sri SRM Fabricator,
Rep. By its Prop. Ravisandran
No.88/200, P.V.Koil Street,
Royapuram,
Chennai – 600 013.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner (ST) (FAC)
Royapuram Assesment Circle,
No.32, Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Chennai – 600 003.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of certiorari calling for the records relating to the order in GSTIN/33AAEPR5784J1Z9/2017-18 dated 23.09.2023 passed by the respondent and quash the same as violative of principles of natural justice, contrary to the instructions of SOP (Standing Operating Procedure) for scrutiny of returns by issuing two notices for the same year by the same respondent on the discrepancies pointed out while scrutiny of returns.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M.A.Mudimannan

For Respondent(s): Mrs.P.Selvi, Government Advocate



ORDER

Mrs.P.Selvi, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 23.09.2023, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 09.08.2023 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 23.09.2023.

4. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 09.01.2026.



5. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 100% of the disputed tax as a condition for *denovo* adjudication.

6. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made the following endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle which has been extracted hereunder:-

“The petitioner is willing to pay 100% of the Tax demand in the impugned order and thus render justice”

7. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

8. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 100% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



9. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 09.08.2023 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 23.09.2023 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 09.08.2023.

10. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner if any, shall also stand automatically vacated.

11. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 100% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

12. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.



13. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

WEB COPY

14. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.

No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

20-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

BKN

To:

The Assistant Commissioner (ST) (FAC)
Royapuram Assessement Circle,
No.32, Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Chennai – 600 003.



WEB COPY

WP No. 5024 of 2



C.SARAVANAN, J.

BKN

WP No. 5024 of 2026

20-02-2026