



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026

Saravanan
S/o. Mr. Subramanian,
Villa No.5,Sai Sun Lake View Villa,
3rd Cross Street,
Sai Baba Nagar, Pudupakkam,
Kancheepuram 603 103.

..Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. By
Inspector of Police,
EDF-III CCB-I, Chennai Beta -6,
Vepery, Chennai – 07.
(Ref. Cr.No. 224 of 2025 dt.18.12.2025)

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS to set aside the order and warrant in Crl.M.P. No. 17239 of 2025 dated 24.12.2025 on the file of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate for the Exclusive Trial of CCB cases (relating to cheating cases in Chennai) and CBCID Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai -01 and consequently, direc the de-



Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026

sealing of the premises of the petitioner in Villa No.5, Saisun Lake View
Villa, Pudupakkam, Kanchipuram – 603 103, sealed by the respondent
Police without any authorization, to enable the petitioner's daughter to
access her educational material.

For Petitioner	::	Mr.A. Ramesh Senior Counsel for Mr.R. Ashwin
For Respondent	::	Mr.R. Vinothraja, Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

The criminal revision petition challenges the order dated 24.12.2025 passed in Crl.M.P. No. 17239 of 2025 by the learned Magistrate, which permitted the respondent Police to search the premises of the petitioner.

2. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that pursuant to the search, certain documents were seized and the premises was sealed in the presence of independent witnesses and the respondent Police have no objection to hand over the keys of the sealed premises to the petitioner.



Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner would submit that in view of the stand taken by the respondent Police that they would hand over the keys of the sealed premises, he would not press for adjudication on the legality of the seizure and sealing of the premises.

4. In view of the above submissions, the respondent Police are directed to de-seal the premises and hand over the keys of the premises in question to the petitioner on or before 11.02.2026. The respondent shall inform the petitioner the date on which they intend to do so. The petitioner or his authorised representative shall be present at the time when the respondent Police propose to de-seal the premises.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would further submit that there are 10 other rooms which are to be searched in the premises and since it was locked, the Police could not search those rooms.

6. The learned Senior Counsel has no objection for the respondent to conduct a search and seize any property in accordance with law.

7. The petitioner or his representative shall co-operate with the respondent and open the portion of the premises, which is locked by him,



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026

SUNDER MOHAN,J.

nv

for the purpose of conducting a search. The respondent shall complete the said exercise in one day and it is needless to say that the respondent Police shall comply with the provisions of Section 105 of BNSS while conducting the said search.

8. The criminal revision petition stands disposed of with the above observation.

05.02.2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nv

To

1. The Metropolitan Magistrate for the Exclusive trial of CCB Cases (relating to Cheating cases) and CBCID, Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai.
2. Inspector of Police, EDF-III CCB-I, Chennai Beta -6, Vepery, Chennai – 07.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Chennai.

Crl.R.C. No. 240 of 2026