



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 1372 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 1372 of 2026

B. Rajkumar Jain

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
Tambaram Central Crime Branch (CCB),
CCB Team-III,
Tambaram City Police,
Sholinganallur,
Chennai - 600 119.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest, in connection with Crime No. 48 of 2025 (or any other Crime Number relating to the very same occurrence) on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Krishnasamy Chinnasamy
for Mr.Yash Rathi

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences **punishable under Section 420, 465, 467, 468 & 471 read with Section 120-B of IPC, in Crime No.48 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner/A8 was involved in grabbing land belonging to the defacto complainant. It is alleged that A1 and A2 were the original owners, and they had executed a Power of Attorney in favour of A4 for selling their land. Accordingly, A4 sold the land to the defacto complainant's father. Suppressing these facts, A1 and A2 allegedly created documents by colluding with A5, who subsequently sold the property to A6, who in turn sold the property to A8. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, a purchaser of the property, was unaware of earlier transactions between the parties. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and there is no previous cases pending against him. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution and submitted that the investigation in this case is



pending and the petitioner is ranked as A8 and he is the purchaser of the property. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the nature of the allegations and other connected facts, it is stated that the fabrication of records and sale of the property were done by the other accused and not by this petitioner. The petitioner is only a purchaser of the property from A7, the Power Agent representing A6, who was holding a title deed in his name, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form



No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

02-02-2026

GBI

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Tambaram Central Crime Branch (CCB),
CCB Team-III,
Tambaram City Police,
Sholinganallur,
Chennai - 600 119.
- 2.The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 1372 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 1372 of 2026

02-02-2026