



WEB COPY

CRP No. 335 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

CRP No. 335 of 2026

Mohana Priya,
Door No.105, Thimmaiyanpudur,
Rangasamuthiram Village,
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. K.P.Venkatachalapathi,
Son of Palani Gounder,
Residing at Kaspas,
Kattupalayam Village,
Gobichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.
2. Deivathal, Wife of K/Pe.Rangasamy,
Residing at Emamundi Village,
Gobichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.
3. A.Raja,
Son of Arumugam,
Residing at Door No.315/A10,
Anna Nagar, Konamoolai Village,
Rangasamuthiram, Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.



4. The Sub-Registrar,
Sub-Registrar office,
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.

5. The District Registrar Office,
Gobichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to set aside the impugned docket order dated 20.09.2025 in O.S.Sr.No.855 of 2025 passed by the Learned Principal District Judge, Erode and direct the learned Principal District Judge, Erode to take on file of the OS.Sr.No.855 of 2025.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S.Ashwin Prashanth
for M/s.M.Abdul Wafiq

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the docket order dated 20.09.2025 passed in O.S.Sr No.855 of 2025 passed by the learned Principal District Judge, Erode.

2. The petitioner herein presented the plaint in O.S.Sr.No.855 of 2025 before the Trial Court and the same was returned on 19.08.2025 raising objections with regard to the pecuniary jurisdiction. The petitioner re-



presented the papers on 11.09.2025, offering her explanations. Again, the plaint was returned by the Trial Court on 20.09.2025 raising certain objections. Aggrieved by the returned order dated 20.09.2025, the petitioner has come before this court.

3. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the objections raised by the Trial Court dated 20.09.2025, she has to re-present the papers, offering her explanations and if the court is satisfied with the explanations offered by the petitioner, the plaint shall be taken on file. On the other hand, if the court is not satisfied with the explanations offered by the petitioner, the matter shall be called in open court and the petitioner shall be given an opportunity to put forth her case. After hearing the petitioner, the trial court shall pass orders on its own merits.

4. Therefore, the petitioner is directed to re-present the returned plaint before the trial court again, offering her explanations to the objections raised by the trial court by order of return dated 20.09.2025. The explanations offered by the petitioner shall be considered by the concerned court and order shall be passed on its own merits, after affording opportunity to the petitioner as indicated above.



WEB COPY

5. With the above directions, this civil revision petition is disposed of.
There shall be no order as to costs. The Registry is directed to return the original plaint papers to the counsel for the petitioner.

29-01-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MST

To

The Principal District Judge,
Erode.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 335 of 2



S.SOUNTHAR, J.

MST

CRP No. 335 of 2026

29-01-2026