



WEB COPY

WP No. 4240 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 4240 of 2026

Malarvizhi

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector

The District Collector Office,
Dharmapuri District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer

Dharmapuri Division,
Dharmapuri District.

3. The Tahsildar

Pappireddipatti Taluk,
Dharmapuri District.

4. K.Govindaraj

..Respondent(s)

Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking for issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus to call for the records relating to the impugned rejection order dated 16.11.2025 passed in Application No.2025/ N103/ 05/ 019266 by the 3rd Respondent and quash the same, and Consequently direct the Respondents to issue Patta in favour of the petitioner in respect of the land comprised in Survey No.322/ 15, extent 189.0 Sq.Meters, Patta No.1801, Kadattur village, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, within a time frame to be fixed by this court.



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.M.Vignesh

For Respondent(s):

Mr.C.Jayaprakash, GA (R1 to R3)

WEB COPY

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 16.11.2025 passed by the third respondent rejecting the petitioner's application seeking for issuance of patta in her favour for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition. The petitioner has challenged the impugned order on the ground that it violates the principles of natural justice and that it is a non-speaking order.

2. According to the petitioner, without conducting any proper enquiry, by a non-speaking order, the impugned order has been passed by the third respondent rejecting the petitioner's application seeking for issuance of patta in her name for the subject property.

3. Mr.C.Jayaprakash, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3. Since no adverse orders are passed against the fourth respondent in this writ petition, notice to the fourth respondent is dispensed with.

4. No prejudice will be caused to the third respondent if the impugned order, which is a non-speaking order and has been passed without conducting



any enquiry, is quashed and remanded back to the first respondent for fresh consideration on merits and in accordance with law. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of in the following manner:-

(a) The impugned order dated 16.11.2025 passed by the third respondent is quashed and the matter is remanded back to the first respondent for fresh consideration on merits and in accordance with law, on the petitioner's application seeking for issuance of patta in her name for the subject property.

(b) The third respondent shall pass final orders, after giving due consideration to the supporting documents filed by the petitioner as well as after hearing the objections of the fourth respondent, and also by adhering to the principles of natural justice, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's application. No Costs.

17-02-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RKM



WEB COPY

WP No. 4240 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

RKM

To

1. The District Collector
The District Collector Office,
Dharmapuri District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Dharmapuri Division,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Tahsildar
Pappireddipatti Taluk,
Dharmapuri District.

WP No. 4240 of 2026

17-02-2026