



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-02-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 2764 of 2026

1. R.Vasantha

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.

2.The District Revenue Officer
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer
Chengalpattu Taluk and District.

4.The Tahsildar
Chengalpattu Taluk and District.

5.Chinnaraj

6.Ranganathan

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Directing the Respondents to consider and dispose of the Petitioners representation dated 03.11.2025, by deleting the land in survey No.98/3, Karunilam Village,measuring 0.24.50 hectares, from Patta No.218 standing in the name of Late. Mr. Balaraman Pillai, and issue a separate Patta in favour of the Petitioner and other legal heirs within a stipulated time.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Senthilkumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja Govt
Advocate For R1 to R4
R5 and R6-N D/w



ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to cancel patta No.218 for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition standing in the name of the father of the respondents 5 and 6, one late Balaraman Pillai based on the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2025 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. The petitioner claims that the father of the respondents 5 and 6 was erroneously and illegally issued patta No.218 for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition. The petitioner seeks for cancellation of patta No.218 standing in the name of one late Balaraman Pillai, who is the father of the respondents 5 and 6. Since the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2025, seeking for cancellation of patta No.218 standing in the name of late Balaraman Pillai, was not considered by the third respondent, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

3. Mr. R.P.Murugan Raja, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4. Since no adverse orders are passed against the respondents 5 and 6, notice to the respondents 5 and 6 is dispensed with by this Court.

4. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents if the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2025, seeking for cancellation of patta No.218



standing in the name of one late Balaraman Pillai, who is the father of the respondents 5 and 6, is considered on merits and in accordance with law after hearing the objections of the respondents 5 and 6 as well as any other third party whom the third respondent deems it fit to enquire within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

5. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this Court directs the third respondent to pass final orders on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2025, seeking for cancellation of patta No.218, standing in the name of late Balaraman Pillai, who is the father of the respondents 5 and 6 for the property morefully described in the prayer to this writ petition within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after hearing the objections of the respondents 5 and 6 as well as any other third party whom the third respondent deems it fit to enquire

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No Costs.

03-02-2026



WP No. 2764 of 2026



Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ab





WEB COPY

WP No. 2764 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

ab

WP No. 2764 of 2026

03-02-2026