



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.1725 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.1725 of 2026

V.Vignesh S/o.Vishvakedhu

... Petitioner/A8

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Grand Bazar Police Station,
Puducherry State.

(Crime No.195 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.195 of
2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. Kalaimani S

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Ramachandra Murthy
Public Prosecutor, Govt. of Puducherry

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 118(2),
109, 103(1) and 190 of B.N.S., in Crime No.195 of 2025 on the file of the



respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.08.2025 at about 12.15 a.m., while the defacto complainant and the deceased were dancing inside OMG Pub along with their friends, a quarrel broke out between the defacto complainant's group and the Pub's managing staff including bouncers. During the altercation, the deceased Moshik and his friends and certain bouncers attacked them-specifically the petitioner struck Sajan on the head with a pizza pan causing severe injuries, while two others Ashol and Raj, stabbed the victims with knife and others assaulted them using pizza bats and pasta plates. As a result of grievous injuries, the deceased died, whereas Sajan was later discharged after treatment. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, who is the Manager of the OMG Pub, attempted to pacify the issue and he has not attacked any persons and that the petitioner is ready to co-operate for the investigation; and further submitted that after the dismissal of the earlier bail application, now, the investigation is concluded and final report was filed and the same was taken on file and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and reported that, in this case, investigation completed and final report also filed and the same has been taken cognizance and the issue of summons has been ordered.

5. Considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation, the fact that the investigation has been completed and final report has been filed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Puducherry** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1725 of 2020

the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

28.01.2026



Crl.O.P.No.1725 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Puducherry.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Grand Bazar Police Station,
Puducherry State.
(Crime No.195 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.1725 of 2026



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1725 of 2025

28.01.2026