



WEB COPY

CRL MP No. 1507 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL MP NO. 1507 OF 2026

AND

CRL OP NO. 16400 OF 2025

Kumar Parabakaran
S/o.Prabakaran,
No.366, Thengal Main Road,
Puliyankannu, Navlock,
Vellore, TamilNadu - 632 404.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
SIPCOT Police Station,
Ranipet District.
2. Punithavathi
W/o.Late Chandrasekaram,
No.3/834, New Street, Puliyankannu,
Walaja Taluk,
Ranipet District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Sec.528 of B.N.S.S., praying to modify the condition imposed in para 6(d) of the order made in Crl.OP No. 16400/2025 dt. 12.11.2025 and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court.



For Petitioner(s):

Mr. L.P.Shanmugasundaram

For Respondent(s):


Mr.J.Subbiah,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1Mr.M.Shreeraam for
Mr. Harish For R2**ORDER**

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking to modify the condition imposed in para 6 (d) of the order made in Crl.O.P.No. 16400 of 2025, dated 12.11.2025.

2.The learned counsel for petitioner would submit that he alone paid the E.M.I. amount to the car, which stands in the name of deceased Chandrasekaran through his bank account. To prove the same, he produced the bank statement. Therefore, he prayed to modify the condition imposed to get the car under his custody till the disposal of criminal proceedings in Crime No.145 of 2025.

3.The learned counsel for intervenor/defacto complainant raised objections stating the E.M.I. amount was paid by her husband and not by the petitioner, who is his brother. After the demise of his brother, there is some dispute arose between them. But, as on date, the car is under the custody of petitioner.

4.To prove the payment of E.M.I., the learned counsel for petitioner produced the bank statement. Whether they are relevant for the payment of E.M.I., it will be decided only at the time of trial. Considering that, this Court is



inclined to modify the condition imposed by this court by an order dated 12.11.2025 as rightly objected by learned Government Advocate appearing for 1st respondent, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- to the credit of Crime No.146 of 2025 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. The learned counsel for intervenor/defacto complainant also pointed out that after passing the order by this court, the R.T.O. had transferred the vehicle in the name of deceased Chandrasekaran. If at all, any encumbrance made over the car, it will lead to other multiplicity of proceedings. Therefore, this court is inclined to impose one more condition that the petitioner is directed to keep the car without making any encumbrance over the car till the disposal of criminal proceedings.

6. Except the above modification, the other conditions imposed by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.16400 of 2025 dated 12.11.2025 shall remain unaltered.

09-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Ranipet.

2. The Inspector of Police, SIPCOT Police Station, Ranipet Dt.

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL MP No. 1507 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

RPP

**CRL MP NO. 1507 OF 2026
AND
CRL OP NO. 16400 OF 2025**

09-04-2026

(1/2)