



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 923 of 2026

1.Raymonds Roy
2.Mercy J.

Petitioners

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
E-2, Peelamedu Police Station
Coimbatore City
Crime No. 689 of 2023.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest pending investigation in Cr.No. 689 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Vetrivel
For Intervenor : Mr.Arularasu Nattudurai
For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No. 689 of 2023, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2.The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners joined hands with other accused by fabricating some documents and transferred the name of the owner of the vehicle in favour of A1 in this case. Hence, the complaint has been lodged.

3.The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are the husband and wife. He further submitted that the case was registered in the year 2023 and they are ready to co-operate for investigation and it is not the case of forgery and only by proper documents, the name of the owner of the vehicle has been registered. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned counsel for the Intervenor/De-facto complaint submitted that it is a fabrication of records and that the de-facto complainant has been cheated. He further submitted that if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners, there is a likelihood of their indulgence in similar offences. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that though the case was registered in the year 2023, the investigation is pending, and if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners, it would affect the trial process. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. I have also gone through the FIR and other connected materials. Admittedly, the case was registered in the year 2023 and the allegations levelled against the petitioners are borne out from the records, hence, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall submit their sample signatures for the purpose of investigation if any required by the Investigating Officer.

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by



the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.01.2026

MSM
To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Coimbatore.

2.The Inspector of Police
E-2, Peelamedu Police Station
Coimbatore City
Crime No. 689 of 2023.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 923 of 2026

21.01.2026