



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 908 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 908 of 2026

Kanhu Parida

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Arcot Taluk Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Cr.No.171 of 2025)

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 483 of BNSS to grant bail to the petitioner in Crime No.171 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.A.Saravanan

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.04.2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, 1985 in Crime No.171 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused, was found in illegal possession of 120 kgs of ganja. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the contraband was not seized from the possession of the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 01.04.2025 and is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) strongly objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail on the ground that the petitioner has one previous case of a similar in nature pending against him. He further submitted that the petitioner hails from State of Orissa, and therefore, there is a possibility of the petitioner absconding if he is enlarged on bail.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side and also perused the materials available on record.

6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), it appears that the petitioner has one previous NDPS case to his credit. It is also noted that the quantity of the contraband involved is 120 kgs of ganja, which is a commercial quantity. Therefore, the rigours of Section 37 of



NDPS Act are applicable. Hence, this Court does not find it desirable to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

11-03-2026

DRL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Arcot Taluk Police Station,
Ranipet District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 908 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 908 of 2026
(1/2)

11-03-2026