



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 1464 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 1464 of 2026

Hatsan Dharmaraj

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By,
The Sub-Inspector Of Police
R1, Mambalam Police Station,
Chennai.

2. Milin Jacob

..Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to call for the records and quash the proceedings in C.C.No.6284 of 2013 on the file of the XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.J.H.Batcha

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Santhosh,

Government Advocate (crl.side) for R1

Mr.P.Anbarasan for R2

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.6284 of 2013, on the file of the learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.



WEB COPY

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, a case in Crime No.933 of 2013 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioner, for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 323 and 506(I) of IPC. After completion of investigation, a charge sheet was filed and the same was taken cognizance of, as aforesaid.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the *de facto* complainant submitted that the matter arises out of a matrimonial dispute and that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the proceedings pending against the petitioner. Affidavits and a Joint Compromise Memo to that effect have also been filed.

5. The petitioner and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by their respective counsel as well as by Ms.G.Gracy Bai, SI, R1, Mambalam Police Station, Chennai.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that he has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioner and he is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.



7. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties have entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offences, has to consider the issue as to whether the offences of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioner. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*, reported in (2017) 9 SCC 641, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.



9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioner and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings pending against the petitioners in C.C.No.6284 of 2013 on the file of the XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the proceedings in C.C.No.6284 of 2013 pending on the file of the XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai, is quashed as against the petitioner, on condition that the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

11. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioner and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

22-01-2026

vkx

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The XVII Metropolitan Magistrate,
Saidapet, Chennai.
2. The Sub-Inspector Of Police
R1, Mambalam Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 1464 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkf

CRL OP No. 1464 of 2026

22-01-2026