



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.716 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No.716 of 2026

1. S.Sasikumar
2. S.Arunkumar
3. S.Sekar
4. C.Karthi
5. C.Kannikan
6. Chinnadurai

... Petitioners

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Arakkonam,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.not known/2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioners herein on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in connection with Crime No.not known/2026, pending investigation on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.Krishnan Perumal

For Respondent : Ms. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.716 of 2020

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners herein, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(B), 115(2), 351(2) and 74 of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.Not Known of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioners herein and other accused bursted crackers during the new year celebration, due to which, the children of the defacto complainant sustained injuries. When it was questioned by the complainant, the petitioners quarreled with them and attacked them with iron rod, as a result of which, they sustained injuries. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioners have not involved in any offences as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioners are ready to co-operate for the investigation and that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and to co-operate for the investigation, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.716 of 2020

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation of this case is pending and due to a quarrel, the complainant sustained injuries as the petitioners attacked with iron rod and submitted that there is no previous case as against the petitioners and the injured got discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation, the fact that there is no previous case as against the petitioners and the injured got discharged from the hospital and therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Arakkonam** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



Crl.O.P.No.716 of 2020

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

28.01.2026

ssa

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.716 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate - I,
Arakkonam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Arakkonam,
Ranipet District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP NO.716 of 2026

28.01.2026