



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

1. Roopa Sagar alias A.Rubasagar
2. A.Kalpana ... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station (AWPS),
Avadi, Chennai.

(Crime No.20 of 2023)

2. D.Harini Sri ... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita/Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records in C.C.No.201 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Kumaresan

For R1 : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

For R2 : Ms.P.Mirunalini



Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.201 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee, pending against the petitioners, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, a case in Crime No.20 of 2023 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the accused, for the offences under Section 498A of IPC, Section 4 of the TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961. After completion of investigation, the final report was filed before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee and the Court took cognizance of the same and numbered it as C.C.No. 201 of 2024.

4. Learned counsel appearing for both the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant submitted that the petitioners are respectively the



husband and mother-in-law of the de facto complainant and that on account of matrimonial discord, the present complaint came to be registered. They further submitted that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the aforesaid case as against the petitioners. Affidavits and a Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect have also been filed.

5. The petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by their respective learned counsel as well as by Ms.M.C.Kavithadevi. Sub-Inspector of Police, W29 Avadi AWPS.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that she has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners and she is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent police submitted that though the parties have entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offences, has to consider the issue as to



whether the offences of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*, reported in (2017) 9 SCC 641, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioners and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any



Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings pending against the petitioners in C.C.No.201 of 2024, pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.201 of 2024, pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Poonamallee, is quashed as against the petitioners.

11. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

16.02.2026

ham

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.I,
Poonamallee.
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station (AWPS),
Avadi, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.3743 of 2026

16.02.2026