



WEB COPY

WP No. 9368 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

WP No. 9368 of 2026

and

W.M.P.No.10092 of 2026

Bargur Ponnusami Senthilkumar
Proprietor of M/s.Venkateshwara Electricals and
Hardware
234, B C R Complex, Ja Gadevi Main Road,
Bargur, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu 635 104.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)
Krishnagiri - II Circle,
Krishnagiri.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of respondent in Ref.No.ZD330225060498D dated 05-02-2025 and quash the same as illegal and consequentially remand back by directing the Respondent for passing a fresh Order after consideration of the explanation of the Petitioner.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Malola Narasimhan V.S

For Respondent(s): Ms.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran
Government Advocate



ORDER

Ms.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 05.02.2025, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 25.11.2024 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 05.02.2025.

4. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 29.12.2025.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 25% of the disputed tax as a condition for *denovo* adjudication.



6. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an following endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle which has been extracted hereunder:-

“The Petitioner is willing to deposit 25% and also directed to lift the bank attachment subject to above payment.”

7. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

8. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 25.11.2024 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 05.02.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 25.11.2024.



10. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner if any, shall also stand automatically vacated.

11. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

12. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

13. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.



14. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.

No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

11-03-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

jas

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)

Krishnagiri - II Circle,

Krishnagiri.



WEB COPY

WP No. 9368 of 2



C.SARAVANAN, J.

jas

**WP No. 9368 of 2026
and
W.M.P.No.10092 of 2026**

11-03-2026