



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 701 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 701 of 2026

1. Meganathan
S/o.Sivanesan, No.42A, Mettu Street,
Puzhal, Tiruvallur, Chennai - 600 066.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State, represented by,
The Inspector of Police, M-3, Puzhal
Police Station, Chennai District. Crime
No.986 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No.986 of 2025, on the file of the Inspector of Police, M-3, Puzhal Police Station, Chennai District, on such terms and conditions as this Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): S.Thennarasu

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 04.11.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Section 123 of BNS, in



Crime No.986 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.10.2025, the petitioner, along with other accused, forcibly administered a Tydol tablet to the defacto complainant by injection, causing severe pain and injection, for which he was hospitalized. Totally 250 Tydol tablets were recovered from the accused. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case, since he has got some previous cases. He further submitted that no banned tablet was recovered from the possession of the petitioner and all the contrabands were seized from the other accused. He further submitted that the co-accused have already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.Nos.35341 & 35472 of 2025 dated 26.12.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 04.11.2025. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is ranked as A1 in this case. He further submitted that totally 250 Tydol tablets were recovered from the accused. He further submitted that the



petitioner has 8 previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the rival submissions of the learned counsel on either side, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and though the petitioner has some previous cases, in all the cases, he has been released on bail, and also considering that the co-accused have already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.Nos.35341 & 35472 of 2025 dated 26.12.2025, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Madhavaram, and on further conditions that:-

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of one month.



[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

WEB COPY

12-01-2026

Mpa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Madhavaram.

1. State, represented by,

The Inspector of Police, M-3, Puzhal
Police Station, Chennai District. Crime
No.986 of 2025

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal-II, Chennai.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 701 of 2026



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

CRL OP No. 701 of 2026

12-01-2026