



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Arb O.P(COM.DIV.) No. 87 of 2026


1. M/s.Shrinithi Capital Private Ltd.,
Represented by its Chief Manager,
Mr.R.Selvam,
at 2nd Floor, 2A, Mookambika Complex,
No.4, Lady Desika Road, Mylapore,
Chennai - 600 004.
2. M/s.Shriram finance Limited.,
Represented by its Assistant Credit Manager
Mr.M.Sakthivel,
Sri Towers, Plot No.14A, South Phase
Industrial Estae,
Guindy, Chennai - 600 032.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Ajith
S/o.Ezhumalai,
No.324, Kamarajar Nagar,
Kiliyanur, Vanur Taluk,
Vilupuram, Tamil Nadu - 604 102.
2. Sudhakar K
S/o.Kanagaraj,
114, ThogupuVettu Street,
Vanur Taluk, Kilkoothapakkam,
Villuppuram, Tamil Nadu - 604 102.
3. Rajesh J
No.3/116, Karumari Amman Street,
Kamarajar Nagar, Killiyanur, Vanur-Taluk,
Viluppuram, Tamil Nadu - 604 102.

..Respondent(s)



To appoint a Sole Arbitrator to decide and adjudicate upon the dispute between the petitioner and respondents arising out of Loan cum Hypothecation Agreement 15.03.2023.

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Sendurpandi

For Respondents : Set exparte


ORDER

This Arbitration Original Petition has been filed under Section 11(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 to appoint a Sole Arbitrator to decide and adjudicate upon the dispute between the petitioner and respondents arising out of Loan cum Hypothecation Agreement 19.04.2023.

2. Heard Mr.K.Sendurpandi, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. In spite of notice having been served upon the respondents through Court and their names are reflected in the cause list. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, there is no representation on the side of the respondents nor through their counsel before this court. Hence, they were called absent and are set ex-parte.

4. A notice under Section 21 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been issued by the petitioners on 18.09.2025. In spite of the same, the



respondents have not chosen to respond. From a reading of the Loan Agreement dated 16.03.2023 entered to between the petitioners and the respondents, it could be seen that the parties have agreed to resolve the disputes by appointing an Arbitrator.

5. In view of the Loan Agreement and considering the nature of claim, this Court deems it appropriate to appoint an Arbitrator. Accordingly, **Mr.R.W.H.Ghyaz Ahmed, Advocate, (Mobile No.93821 57871 & 98407 46593) having office at No.57, Law Chamber, High Court Madras – 600 104** is appointed as Sole Arbitrator to enter upon the reference and resolve the disputes between the petitioners and the respondents.


6. The learned Sole Arbitrator is entitled to fix her fees as per the Schedule-IV of the Act. This Court further requests the learned Sole Arbitrator to endeavour to decide the dispute as expeditiously as possible.

Accordingly, this Arbitration Original Petition is ordered. No costs.

04-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GBA



To

1. The Madras High Court Arbitration Centre,
Madras.



WEB COPY

Arb O.P(COM.DIV.) No. 87 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

GBA

Arb O.P(COM.DIV.) No. 87 of 2026

04-06-2026