



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CrI.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

Ananda Naidu

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Vellore, Vellore District.
Crime No.8 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner's
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.8 of 2025 pending
investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Vijaya Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan,
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 466, 468, 471 and



Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

120B of IPC, in Crime No.8 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner, along with others, fabricated various documents of the borrowers by colluding with them, obtained various types of loans from the de facto complainant's bank, and swindled the same. In one case, A2 was granted a loan of Rs.8 lakhs, out of which A2, as a borrower, received only Rs.2 lakhs and the remaining Rs.6 lakhs was given to A9. Similarly, in another case, A8 was granted a loan of Rs.8,95,000/-, and the Branch Manager alone received Rs.50,000/-. Likewise, there was collusion and collection of kickbacks in granting loans. Hence, the complaint was lodged.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a Panchayat President who helped A1 to A5 to avail loans, and the records stand in the names of A1 to A5. Based upon mere confession, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that earlier this Court dismissed the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner in Crl.O.P.Nos.33054 & 31816 of 2025 dated 08.12.2025, and subsequently, the co-accused/A7 was released on anticipatory bail in



Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

Crl.O.P.No.33373 of 2025 dated 17.12.2025. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the total amount involved in this case is Rs.63 lakhs, out of five loans; A1 to A5 are the borrowers, and A6 and A7 are bank officials, and two others are private individuals, who are all involved in fabrication of records and obtaining loans. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. While looking into the factual position, the FIR was registered on 24.10.2025. Taking into consideration the registration of the FIR in the month of October 2025, the fact that other accused were released on anticipatory bail in Crl.O.P.No.33373 of 2025 dated 17.12.2025, and the fact that no custodial interrogation is required, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial**



Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

Magistrate Court, Gudiyatham, Vellore District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice a day at 10.30 am and 5.30 pm. for a period of 15 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v.*



Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];

WEB COPY

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

01.04.2026

cda

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Gudiyatham, Vellore District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Vellore, Vellore District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.8199 of 2026

01.04.2026