



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 520 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 12-01-2026**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP No. 520 of 2026**

Deventhiran Murugan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep by;  
The Inspector of Police  
Kottur Police Station,  
Coimbatore.  
(Crime No. 350 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No. 350/2025 on the file of the respondent pending investigation and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.Anitha P.J

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 132, 224, 287 and 351(2) of BNSS, 2023 in Cr.No.350 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner, joining hands with other accused, entered the Office of the Executive Officer, Kotturpuram Panchayat and demanded immediate action against the illegal construction of a godown. It is further alleged that they threatened the officials that if prompt action was not taken, they would pour petrol and set the officer on fire. Accordingly, A1 allegedly poured petrol on himself, but the official intervened and averted the incident and also complaint has been lodged. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the major allegations are only levelled against A1 in this case and that the role of the petitioner in this case is that he has only participated in the quarrel. Hence, she prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has six previous cases. He further submitted that the co-accused was enlarged on bail by this Court vide orders dated 05.12.2025 & 17.12.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.33316 of 2025 and Crl.O.P.No.34549 of 2025 respectively. However, she opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Though the learned Government Advocate submitted that the petitioner is having six previous case, the majority of the cases are political protest, and considering the fact that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Pollachi** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left



thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

**(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial:

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

**12-01-2026**

Jd  
Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Internet: Yes  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Pollachi

WEB COPY

2. The Inspector of Police  
Kottur Police Station,  
Coimbatore.  
(Crime No. 350 of 2025)

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 520 of 2026



**K.RAJASEKAR J.**  
jd

**CRL OP No. 520 of 2026**

**12-01-2026**