



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 452 of 2026

1. Selvaperumal
S/o. Velu, No.732, Kollaiedu,
Navappalayam Village, Kalasapakkam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District. and 4
Others
2. Velu
S/o. Periyathambi, No.732, Kollaiedu,
Navappalayam Village, Kalasapakkam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.
3. Samanthi
W/o. Velu, No.732, Kollaiedu,
Navappalayam Village, Kalasapakkam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.
4. Suriyaperumal
S/o. Kuppan, Kollaiedu,
Navappalayam Village, Kalasapakkam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.
5. Kuppan
S/o. Periyathambi, No.789, Kollaiedu,
Navappalayam Village, Kalasapakkam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep.by, The Inspector of
Police,
Adamangalam Pudur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District. Cr.No.2 of
2026.



Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 2 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police station.

For Petitioner(s): E.Sathiyaraj Elangovan

For Respondent(s): M/S.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS (corresponding section 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) of Ipc) and section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 2 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to land dispute, the petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant by using a shovel and caused grievous injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that there is a case in counter case against the defacto complainant and a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also of the fact that there is case in counter case and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai District**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from



the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

WEB COPY

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

19-01-2026

Mpa
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai

District.

2. The State Rep.by, The Inspector of
Police,

Adamangalam Pudur Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District. Cr.No.2 of
2026.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 452 of 2026



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

CRL OP No. 452 of 2026

19-01-2026