



Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026

and

Crl.MP.No.1133 of 2026

Shanmugam

...Petitioner

Vs.

Ravi

...Respondent

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita/Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to set aside the order passed by the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision Petition No.19 of 2024 dated 11.11.2025 in order passed by Court of Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam in Crl.MP.No.2468 of 2023 in CC.No38 of 2022, order dated 13.06.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jaikumar

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking to quash the order dated 11.11.2025 passed by the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision Petition No.19 of 2024.



Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026

2. The brief facts of the case are as follows:-

WEB COPY

2.1. The petitioner is an accused facing trial for the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam in CC.No.38 of 2022, on the basis of the private complaint lodged by the respondent/complainant. The petitioner herein filed a petition under Section 91 of Cr.P.C. in Crl.MP.No.2468 of 2023, seeking to direct the respondent/complainant to produce the ledger in respect of the Finance Company, Accounts Register, Debt receipt Challan and license for running the finance company. However, the trial court, vide order dated 13.06.2023, dismissed the said petition.

2.2. Challenging the same, a revision was preferred by the petitioner/accused before the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Criminal Revision No.19 of 2024. The learned Judge, finding that there is no infirmity in the dismissal order passed by the trial court, dismissed the said revision, vide order dated 11.11.2025. Aggrieved by the same, the present petition has been filed.

3. It is well settled that a revision petition ought to be preferred before the Sessions Court under Section 397 of Cr.P.C. Once the revision is dismissed, a subsequent petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., before the High Court challenging the very same order would, in substance, amount to a



Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026

second revision, which is specifically barred under Section 397(3) of Cr.P.C.

The inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked to circumvent such a statutory bar. However, no doubt, in certain exceptional circumstances, this Court can entertain a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

4. But, in the present case, no such exceptional circumstance has been made out warranting interference under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Therefore, the present petition, being in the nature of a second revision, is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

29.01.2026

skt

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Madurantakam.

3/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

skt

Crl.O.P.No.1757 of 2026
and
Crl.MP.No.1133 of 2026

29.01.2026